

A Study of Postural Variation in Peak Expiratory Flow Rates in Healthy Adult Female Subjects in South India

Jenny Jayapal

Department of Physiology, MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital, Hoskote, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Abstract

Background: Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) reflects the strength and condition of respiratory muscles and the degree of airflow limitation in large airways. PEFR shows postural variation that follows a specific pattern in asthmatics and healthy individuals has been identified. Adequate data are not available for the postural variation in normal individuals, who are students in professional courses, and had a sedentary lifestyle. Lung volumes in normal subjects were significantly higher in a standing position. Others have reported that in healthy subjects spirometric indices were higher in the standing in comparison with the sitting position whereas other studies have reported no differences between spirometric values obtained in lying, sitting, and standing positions. Hence, this study is undertaken to study the postural variation in PEFR in healthy adult female subjects in South India. **Methods:** PEFR was recorded in 50 adult healthy female students aged 18–23 years and studying in professional courses (MBBS, BDS, Nursing, and MSc). Mini wright's peak flow meter was used to measure the PEFR. Three readings were taken PEFR in standing and lying posture. Best of three recordings is taken as the final value. **Results:** PEFR is decreased in lying posture compared to standing posture in subjects studied, and the quantum of difference was noted. **Conclusion:** In postural changes, PEFR measurements significantly differ based on whether the measurements are taken in the standing or in the lying posture in healthy participants. The effect of posture may be of importance in recording PEFR and changing to a better posture may be especially useful for those patients with weak expiration.

Key words: Peak expiratory flow rate, postural variation, South Indian female

INTRODUCTION

Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) reflects the strength and condition of respiratory muscles and the degree of airflow limitation in large airways. PEFR shows postural variation that follows a specific pattern in asthmatics and healthy individuals has been identified. Adequate data are not available for the postural variation in normal individuals, who are students in professional courses, and had a sedentary lifestyle. Hence, this study is undertaken to the postural variation in PEFR's in healthy adult female subjects in South India.

Peak expiratory flow is a measurement of the movement of air into and out of the lungs during various breathing maneuvers.^[1]

In effect of postural changes, lung volumes in normal subjects were significantly higher in a standing position.^[2] Other studies reported that in healthy subjects spirometric indices were higher in the standing in comparison with the sitting position,^[3]

whereas some other studies have reported no differences between spirometric values obtained in lying, sitting, and standing positions.^[4]

This study is undertaken to the postural variation in PEFRs in healthy adult female subjects in South India.

METHODS

The present study was conducted in the pulmonary function lab of Chettinad Medical College at Chennai, India. A total of 50 apparently healthy sedentary female subjects aged 18–23 years and studying in professional courses (MBBS, BDS, Nursing, and MSc). Mini wright's peak flow meter was

Address for correspondence: Mrs. Jenny Jayapal,
Department of Physiology, MVJ Medical College and Research Hospital,
Hoskote, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.
E-mail: pan1832@gmail.com

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Jayapal J. A study of postural variation in peak expiratory flow rates in healthy adult female subjects in South India. *Niger J Gen Pract* 2016;14:11-3.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

DOI:
10.4103/1118-4647.177531

Table 1: Basic subject information

	Number	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI	BSA (m ²)
Female	50	18.14±2.05	172.2±8.48	66.79±16.54	21.84±3.51	1.94±2.69

BMI: Body mass index, BSA: Body surface area

Table 2: Mean PEFR values of standing and lying posture for female subjects

Female	7-8 am	10-11 am	1-2 pm	4-5 pm	7-8 pm
Standing	346.6±48.6	345.4±48.71	361.5±52.5	353.3±45.9	350.2±43.45
Lying	317.7±44.02	319.3±48.06	329.2±49.6	326.3±49.7	322±42.6

PEFR: Peak expiratory flow rates

used to measure the PEFR. Three readings were taken PEFR in standing and lying posture. Best of three recordings is taken as the final value.

Ethical clearance was obtained from institution ethical committee.

Before starting the actual study, subjects were briefed on the protocol and informed consent was obtained. Thorough history regarding suitability as per the above inclusion and exclusion criteria was elicited. The basic clinical examination was done to rule out any cardiopulmonary or other illness.

Statistical analysis

To analyze the diurnal variation of PEFR, the maximum out of the three recordings was taken as the final value.

Peak expiratory flow rate was measured in standing, and lying position was analyzed by using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test with $P < 0.05$ was taken, as the level of significance.

RESULTS

The anthropometric values of the subjects are given in Table 1. On analysis of PEFR records of individual subjects, it was seen that there was an overall dip in PEFR values in lying down posture [Table 2].

DISCUSSION

In this study, postural change of healthy female individuals was studied. Our results show that PEFR is decreased in lying posture compared to standing posture in female subjects studied. These study findings are in contrast to the findings reported by Baduraddin *et al.* 2010.^[4] They have reported that there was no significant difference of PEFR in standing, sitting, and lying position. Our study findings are similar to the reports of Fiz *et al.* 1991 where they have reported that maximum inspiratory and expiratory pressures values decreased in the supine posture with respect to standing and sitting positions.^[5]

In the erect posture the diaphragm descends, therefore, the capacity of the thoracic cage increases. In the supine position, the diaphragm is pulled upward because the

abdominal viscera push the diaphragm. Therefore, the capacity of the thoracic cage decreases. Hence, vital capacity is greater in the erect posture than in the supine position. In the supine position due to the elimination of the effect of gravity, the blood flow to the lung increases. This decreases vital capacity. In the standing posture, blood is pooled in the lower extremities, therefore venous return decreases. This decreases pulmonary blood flow, thus vital capacity increases on standing.

Increased lung volumes in the standing position appear to be related to the increased thoracic cavity volume.^[4] Increased lung volume leads to greater elastic recoil. Following a deep inspiration (as in preparation for a maximal expiratory manoeuvre), a larger amount of potential energy is stored in the tissue of the chest wall. Further, the contracting diaphragm increases pressure on abdominal contents pushing them forward and distending the abdominal cavity. This places the abdominal muscles at a slight stretch. Expiratory muscles attain their optimal length during standing and at more stretched lengths, the abdominal muscles may be more capable of stronger contractions and thus help in the generation of higher maximum expiratory pressure (MEP).

During a forced expiration in standing, the greater recoil of the lung and chest wall is combined with higher pressures generated by abdominal contraction. This combined action push the air at high speeds through narrowing airways resulting in the higher MEP and PEFR. Other factors that may have influenced the results in the standing position could include subject comfort and a higher arousal level.

CONCLUSION

In postural changes, PEFR measurements significantly differ based on whether the measurements are taken in the standing or in the lying posture in healthy participants. The effect of posture may be of importance in recording PEFR and changing to a better posture may be especially useful for those patients with weak expiration.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Crapo RO. Pulmonary-function testing. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:25-30.
2. Appel M, Childs A, Healey E, Markowitz S, Wong S, Mead J. Effect of posture on vital capacity. *J Appl Physiol* 1986;61:1882-4.
3. Laloo UG, Becklake MR, Goldsmith CM. Effect of standing versus sitting position on spirometric indices in healthy subjects. *Respiration* 1991;58:122-5.
4. Badaruddin M, Uddin MB, Khalun MF, Ahmad K. Study on peak expiratory flow rate in different positions. *Dinajpur Med Coll J* 2010;3:17-8.
5. Fiz JA, Aguilar X, Carreres A, Barbany M, Formiguera X, Izquierdo J, *et al.* Postural variation of the maximum inspiratory and expiratory pressures in obese patients. *Int J Obes* 1991;15:655-9.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from <http://www.journalonweb.com>. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1 MB. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than 4096 kb (4 MB) in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.